SUM-100

#### SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

### NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

DELTA AIR LINES INC., a corporation; BRIAN PATRICK DURNING, an individual; and DOES 1-David W. Slayton, inclusive.

### YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

Z.B, a minor, and J.B., a minor, by their guardian, S.S., and S.S., an individual.

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles 2/16/2024 11:44 AM David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Co By M. Horan, Deputy Clerk

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. [AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso):
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Los Angeles Superior Court	24TRCV00333
Torrance Courthouse, 825 Maple Ave, Torrance, CA 90503	2111010000

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or <i>El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del de</i> Jessica Lobis Buckwalter(199200) Lewis Baach Kaufmann Middlen	emandante, o del demandant	e que no tiene abogado, e	
DATE: 02/16/2024 David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court	Clerk, by (Secretario)	M. Horan	, Deputy <i>(Adjunto)</i>
For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Sum (Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of [SEAL] NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERV	f Service of Summons, (POS-	010)). <b>EXHI</b>	BIT

	ummons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)	
(Para prueba de entrega de (	esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).  NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served	EXHIBIT
OTOENIA CONTROLLA CONTROLL	<ol> <li>as an individual defendant.</li> <li>as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):</li> </ol>	Α
	3. X on behalf of (specify): Delta Airlines, Inc. under: X CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416	6.60 (minor)
	CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416	5.70 (conservatee) 5.90 (authorized person
······································	4. by personal delivery on <i>(date)</i> :	

Page 1 of 1
Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465
www.courts.ca.gov

1	LEWIS BAACH KAUFMANN MIDDL Jessica R. Lobis Buckwalter (SBN 199200	n Filed
2	Jessica.Buckwalter@lbkmlaw.com 1050 K Street, NW, Suite 400	County of Los Angalas
3	Washington, DC 20001	02/14/2024
	Tel: (202) 833-8900; Fax: (202) 466-5738	
4	Adam Kaufmann (pro hac vice admission	pending)  By: M. Gollahar Deputy
5	Adam.Kaufmann@lbkmlaw.com Li Jiang (SBN 292940)	
6	Li.Jiang@lbkmlaw.com 10 Grand Central, 155 East 44th St., 25th 1	Floor
7	New York NY 10017 Tel: (212) 826-7001; Fax: (202) 826-7146	
8	AGNIFILO LAW GROUP, APC	1!>
9	Karen Agnifilo (pro hac vice admission pe karen@agnifilolaw.com	ending)
10	256 5th Avenue, New York, NY 10001 Tel: (646) 596-2919	
11	DE CASTRO LAW GROUP, P.C.	
12	José-Manuel A. de Castro (SBN 213769)  jmdecastro@decastrolawgroup.com	n
13	7590 N. Glenoaks Blvd., Suite 201 Los Angeles, CA 91504	
14	Tel: (310) 270-9877; Fax: (310) 341-2330	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
16		F THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
17	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS A	NGELES – TORRANCE COURTHOUSE
18	Z.B., a minor, and J.B., a minor, by their guardian, S.S., and S.S., an individual,	CASE NO.: 24TRCV00333
19	Distriction	
19	Plaintiffs,	PARTE APPLICATION TO ALLOW
20	vs.	PLAINTIFFS' GUARDIAN AD LITEM TO
21	DELTA AIR LINES, INC.; BRIAN PATRICK DURNING; and DOES 1	PROCEED UNDER A PSEUDONYM
22	through 5, inclusive,	[Filed Concurrently with Ex-Parte Application; Memorandum of Points & Authorities; Declaration of
23	Defendants.	Jessica R. Lobis Buckwalter; Application & Order For Appointment of Guardian Ad Litem]
24		Date: February 14, 2024
25		Time: 8:30 a.m. Dept.: B
26		Judge: Hon. Douglas W. Stern
27		
28		
- 1		1

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED UNDER A PSEUDONYM (CASE NO.: 24TRCV00333)

**PROPOSED** ORDER The COURT HEREBY FINDS, that there is GOOD CAUSE for S.S. to be appointed as Z.B. and J.B.'s guardian ad litem under a fictitious designation pursuant to Section 372.5, subdivisions (a) and (b) of the Code of Civil Procedure. The Court hereby grants Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application For An Order Allowing Plaintiffs' Guardian Ad Litem To Proceed Under A Pseudonym Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 372.5. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 02/14/2024 Honorable Douglas Judge of the Superior Court 

Case 2:24-cv-02178-FLA-DFM	Document 1-1	Filed 03/18/2/	Pane // of 38	Page ID #:15
Case 2.24-CV-UZI/O-FLA-DFIVI	DOCUMENT T-T	FIIEU 03/10/24	raye 4 UI So	raye ID #.13

CM-010

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar num Jessica R. Lobis Buckwalter (199200), Lewis B NW, Washington DC 20001		FOR COURT USE ONLY
TELEPHONE NO.: (202) 833-8900 E-MAIL ADDRESS: jessica,buckwalter@lbkmli	FAX NO. (Optional): (202) 466-5738 aw.com	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Z.B. and J.B., through thei	r guardian S.S., and S.S.	Electronically FILED by
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES	Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles
STREET ADDRESS: 825 Maple Ave.		1/30/2024 10:26 AM
MAILING ADDRESS:		David W. Slayton,
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Torrance, CA 90503		Executive Officer/Clerk of Court,
BRANCH NAME: Torrance Courthouse		By M. Horan, Deputy Clerk
CASE NAME:  Z.B. et. al v. Delta Air Lines, Inc., et. al.		
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
	Counter Joinder	24TRCV00333
(Amount (Amount	Filed with first appearance by defendant	
demanded demanded is	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	JUDGE: DEPT.:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)		
	ow must be completed (see instructions or	n page 2).
1. Check <b>one</b> box below for the case type that		
Auto Tort		Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Dieacit of contract warranty (00)	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
,	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	types (41)
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	Inforcement of Judgment
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)		Enforcement of judgment (20)
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	RICO (27)
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Aiscellaneous Civil Petition
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
	play under rule 2 400 of the Colifornia Bula	on of Court If the ence is complex, mark the
<ol><li>This case is is is not com factors requiring exceptional judicial manage</li></ol>		es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
a Large number of separately repres	sented parties d Large number	of witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising of		with related actions pending in one or more
issues that will be time-consuming		counties, states, or countries, or in a federal
c. Substantial amount of documentar	y evidence court	atiudament judiajal aupon jajan
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.		stjudgment judicial supervision claratory or injunctive relief c. X punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 9		
	ss action suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file a	nd serve a notice of related case. (You ma	y use form CM-015.)
Date: January 30, 2024		7
Jessica R. Lobis Buckwalter		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	NOTICE (SIG	NATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
<ul> <li>Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the file under the Probate Code, Family Code, or W in sanctions.</li> </ul>	st paper filed in the action or proceeding (	except small claims cases or cases filed of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
File this cover sheet in addition to any cover		
<ul> <li>If this case is complex under rule 3,400 et s</li> </ul>	eq. of the California Rules of Court, you mi	ust serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding.  • Unless this is a collections case under rule 3	3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet	will be used for statistical purposes only.

#### INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1. check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases, A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3,740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES
Contract the case is complex.

**Auto Tort** 

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

#### Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of

**Emotional Distress** Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** 

Other PI/PD/WD

#### Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

**Business Tort/Unfair Business** Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13) Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

#### Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer

or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18) Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

#### Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

#### **Unlawful Detainer**

Commercial (31) Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise,

#### report as Commercial or Residential) Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)

Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal–Labor Commissioner Appeals

#### Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

CM-010

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

#### **Enforcement of Judgment**

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County)

Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

#### Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

**RICO (27)** 

Other Complaint (not specified

above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only

Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

#### Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified

above) (43) Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

**Election Contest** 

Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late

Claim

Other Civil Petition

·		
SHORT TITLE		CASE NUMBER
Z.B. et. al. v Delta Air	Lines, Inc., et. al.	241 RCV00333

#### CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

(CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.3 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court

- **Step 1:** After completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet (Judicial Council form CM-010), find the exact case type in Column A that corresponds to the case type indicated in the Civil Case Cover Sheet.
- **Step 2:** In Column B, check the box for the type of action that best describes the nature of the case.
- Step 3: In Column C, circle the number which explains the reason for the court filing location you have chosen.

	Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (Column C)				
1.	Class Actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Central District.	7. Location where petitioner resides.			
2.	Permissive filing in Central District.	8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.			
3.	Location where cause of action arose.	9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.			
4.	Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.	10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office.			
5.	Location where performance required, or defendant resides.	11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases – unlawful detainer, limited			
6.	Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.	non-collection, limited collection).			

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Case Type	Type of Action (check only one)	<b>C</b> Applicable Reasons (see Step 3 above)
Tort	Auto (22)	☐ 2201 Motor Vehicle – Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1, 4
Auto Tort	Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ 4601 Uninsured Motorist — Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1, 4
erty 1	Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful	☐ 2301 Premise Liability (e.g., dangerous conditions of property, slip/trip and fall, dog attack, etc.)	1, 4
y/ Property ul Death	Death (23)	☐ 2302 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, battery, vandalism, etc.)	1, 4
Injur		2303 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	1,4
sonal		☐ 2304 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1, 4
Other Personal Injury/ Damage/ Wrongful		☐ 2305 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse/Claims Against Skilled Nursing Facility	1, 4
0		☐ 2306 Intentional Conduct — Sexual Abuse Case (in any form)	1, 4

SHORT TITLE CASE NUMBER

Z.B. et. al. v Delta Air Lines, Inc., et. al.

	<b>A</b> Civil Case Cover Sheet Case Type	B Type of Action (check only one)	C Applicable Reasons (see
<u> </u>		☐ 2307 Construction Accidents	Step 3 above) 1, 4
		☐ 2308 Landlord – Tenant Habitability (e.g., bed bugs, mold, etc.)	1, 4
/\dagger_{\text{i}}	Product Liability (24)	☐ 2401 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/ environmental)	1, 4
Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death		☐ 2402 Product Liability — Song-Beverly Consumer Warranty Act (CA Civil Code §§1790-1795.8) (Lemon Law)	1, 3, 5
er Peri operty Vrong	Medical Malpractice (45)	☐ 4501 Medical Malpractice – Physicians & Surgeons	1, 4
Othe Pr	(13)	☐ 4502 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1, 4
Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort	Business Tort (07)	☐ 0701 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud or breach of contract)	1, 2, 3
al erty ul D	Civil Rights (08)	□ 0801 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1, 2, 3
Non-Personal njury/Property ige/Wrongful D Tort	Defamation (13)	☐ 1301 Defamation (slander/libel)	1, 2, 3
-Pers y/Pro Aron	Fraud (16)	☐ 1601 Fraud (no contract)	1, 2, 3
Non jur ge/	Professional Negligence (25)	☐ 2501 Legal Malpractice	1, 2, 3
		☐ 2502 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1, 2, 3
Da	Other (35)	☐ 3501 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage Tort	1, 2, 3
ent	Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ 3601 Wrongful Termination	1, 2, 3
Employment	Other Employment (15)	☐ 1501 Other Employment Complaint Case	1, 2, 3
E	, ,	☐ 1502 Labor Commissioner Appeals	10
	Breach of Contract / Warranty (06)	☐ 0601 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)	2, 5
	(not insurance)	☐ 0602 Contract/Warranty Breach — Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)	2, 5
		☐ 0603 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)	1, 2, 5
<b>.</b>		☐ 0604 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud/ negligence)	1, 2, 5
Contract		☐ 0605 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (COVID-19 Rental Debt)	2, 5
Cont	Collections (09)	□ 0901 Collections Case — Seller Plaintiff	5, 6, 11
		☐ 0902 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	5, 11
		☐ 0903 Collections Case — Purchased Debt (charged off consumer debt purchased on or after January 1, 2014)	5, 6, 11
		☐ 0904 Collections Case — COVID-19 Rental Debt	5, 11
	Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ 1801 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1, 2, 5, 8

SHORT TITLE

Z.B. et. al. v Delta Air Lines, Inc., et. al.

	A	<b>B</b> 5	C
	Civil Case Cover	Type of Action	Applicable
	Sheet Case Type	(check only one)	Reasons (see Step 3 above)
	Other Contract (37)	☐ 3701 Contractual Fraud	1, 2, 3, 5
ract		☐ 3702 Tortious Interference	1, 2, 3, 5
Contract (Continued)		☐ 3703 Other Contract Dispute (not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1, 2, 3, 8, 9
	Eminent Domain/	☐ 1401 Eminent Domain/Condemnation	2, 6
	Inverse	Number of Parcels	
Real Property	Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ 3301 Wrongful Eviction Case	2, 6
<u>4</u>	Other Real	☐ 2601 Mortgage Foreclosure	2, 6
Reg	Property (26)	☐ 2602 Quiet Title	2, 6
		☐ 2603 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2, 6
<u>.</u>	Unlawful Detainer – Commercial (31)	☐ 3101 Unlawful Detainer – Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
Detaine	Unlawful Detainer – Residential (32)	☐ 3201 Unlawful Detainer – Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
Unlawful Detainer	Unlawful Detainer  – Post Foreclosure  (34)	☐ 3401 Unlawful Detainer – Post Foreclosure	2, 6, 11
ם	Unlawful Detainer – Drugs (38)	☐ 3801 Unlawful Detainer – Drugs	2, 6, 11
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	□ 0501 Asset Forfeiture Case	2, 3, 6
	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ 1101 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2, 5
eview	Writ of Mandate	☐ 0201 Writ – Administrative Mandamus	2, 8
	(02)	☐ 0202 Writ – Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2
Judicial R		☐ 0203 Writ – Other Limited Court Case Review	2
pnr	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ 3901 Other Writ/Judicial Review	2, 8
	Review (39)	☐ 3902 Administrative Hearing	2, 8
		☐ 3903 Parking Appeal	2, 8
nally ex on	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	□ 0301 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1, 2, 8
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Asbestos (04)	□ 0401 Asbestos Property Damage	1, 11
Pro C		□ 0402 Asbestos Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	1, 11

LASC CIV 109 Rev. 01/23 For Mandatory Use SHORT TITLE
Z.B. et. al. v Delta Air Lines, Inc., et. al.

	<b>A</b> Civil Case Cover Sheet Case Type	Type of Action (check only one)	Applicable Reasons (see Step 3 above)
*	Construction Defect (10)	☐ 1001 Construction Defect	1, 2, 3
mple)	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ 4001 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1, 2, 8
ionally Co Litigation (Continued)	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ 2801 Securities Litigation Case	1, 2, 8
Provisionally Complex Litigation (Continued)	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	□ 3001 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1, 2, 3, 8
Pro	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ 4101 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1, 2, 5, 8
	Enforcement of	□ 2001 Sister State Judgment	2, 5, 11
nt of nt	Judgment (20)	□ 2002 Abstract of Judgment	2, 6
forcement Judgment		☐ 2004 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2, 8
Enforcement of Judgment		☐ 2005 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment Unpaid Tax	2, 8
Ш		☐ 2006 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2, 8, 9
	RICO (27)	☐ 2701 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1, 2, 8
Is Civ	Other Complaints	☐ 4201 Declaratory Relief Only	1, 2, 8
neou	(not specified above) (42)	☐ 4202 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2, 8
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	, ,	☐ 4203 Other Commercial Complaint Case (nontort/noncomplex)	1, 2, 8
Σ		☐ 4204 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1, 2, 8
ons	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	☐ 2101 Partnership and Corporation Governance Case .	2, 8
etiti	Other Petitions	☐ 4301 Civil Harassment with Damages	2, 3, 9
ivil	(not specified above) (43)	☐ 4302 Workplace Harassment with Damages	2, 3, 9
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	22013/(15/	☐ 4303 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case with Damages	2, 3, 9
aneo		☐ 4304 Election Contest	2
cells		☐ 4305 Petition for Change of Name/Change of Gender	2, 7
Mis		☐ 4306 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2, 3, 8
		☐ 4307 Other Civil Petition	2, 9

SHORT TITLE	CASE NUMBER
Z.B. et. al. v Delta Air Lines, Inc., et. al.	
	<del></del>

**Step 4: Statement of Reason and Address:** Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected. Enter the address, which is the basis for the filing location including zip code. (No address required for class action cases.)

3	ADDRESS:  1 World Way Los Angeles, CA 90045	REASON:  □ 1. □ 2. □ 3. ☑ 4. □ 5. □ 6. □ 7. □ 8. □ 9. □ 10. □ 11		
,	Los Angeles, OA 30040	ZIP CODE:	STATE:	CITY:
		90045	CA	Los Angeles
	Los Angeles, CA 90045			

Step 5: Certification of Assignment: I certify that this case is properly filed in the Southwest

District of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles [Code of Civ. Proc., 392 et seq., and LASC Local Rule 2.3(a)(1)(E)]

Dated:	01/30/2024	
Daleu.	01/30/2024	

(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY

## PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet Judicial Council form CM-010.
- 4. Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form LASC CIV 109 (01/23).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless there is a court order for waiver, partial or schedule payments.
- 6. A signed order appointing a Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court to issue a Summons.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the Summons and Complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

1 2 3	LEWIS BAACH KAUFMANN MIDDLE Jessica R. Lobis Buckwalter (SBN 199200) Jessica.Buckwalter@lbkmlaw.com 1050 K Street, NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20001 Tel: (202) 833-8900; Fax: (202) 466-5738	MISS PLLC		Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles 1/30/2024 10:26 AM David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court,
4   5   6   7   8   9   10	Adam Kaufmann (pro hac vice admission per Adam.Kaufmann@lbkmlaw.com Li Jiang (SBN 292940) Li.Jiang@lbkmlaw.com 10 Grand Central, 155 East 44th St., 25th Flow York NY 10017 Tel.: (212) 826-7001; Fax: (202) 826-7146  AGNIFILO LAW GROUP, APC Karen Agnifilo (pro hac vice admission pene karen@agnifilolaw.com 256 5th Avenue, New York, NY 10001 Telephone: (646) 596-2919	oor		By M. Horan, Deputy Clerk
11 12 13 14	DE CASTRO LAW GROUP, P.C. José-Manuel A. de Castro (SBN 213769)  jmdecastro@decastrolawgroup.com 7590 N. Glenoaks Blvd., Suite 201 Los Angeles, CA 91504 Tel.: (310) 270-9877; Fax: (310) 341-2330  Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
16	SUPERIOR COURT	OF THE STATI	E OF CALI	FORNIA
17	FOR THE CO	OUNTY OF LOS	S ANGELE	S
18	[UN	LIMITED CIVI	IL]	
19   220   221   222   233   224   225   226   227	Z.B., a minor, and J.B., a minor, by their guardian, S.S., and S.S., an individual,  Plaintiffs,  vs.  DELTA AIR LINES, INC.; BRIAN PATRICK DURNING; and DOES 1 through 5, inclusive,  Defendants.	EMOTI 2. GROSS 3. NEGLI 4. NEGLI DISTRI 5. VIOLA PROFE 6. ASSAU 7. SEXUA 8. BATTE 9. INTEN	FOR: TIONAL II IONAL DIS NEGLIGI GENCE; GENT INF ESS; TION OF I ESSIONS C LT; L BATTEI ERY; AND TIONAL DIS	ENCE; LICTION OF EMOTIONAL BUSINESS AND ODE § 17200; RY (CIVIL CODE § 1708.5); NFLICTION OF STRESS
28		DEMAND FO	R JURY T	RIAL
-				

Plaintiffs Z.B. and J.B., by S.S., their guardian, and Plaintiff S.S., individually, by and through their attorneys, Lewis Baach Kaufmann Middlemiss PLLC, Agnifilo Law Group, APC, and De Castro Law Group, P.C. allege as follows:

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This case arises out of the horrific sexual assault of a thirteen-year-old child during an overnight Delta Air Lines, Inc. (referred to herein as "Delta") flight from Los Angeles, California, to Orlando, Florida. What was intended as a joyful family trip turned into a life-altering, traumatic experience for a young teenager and every parent's worst nightmare. Defendant Brian Patrick Durning's (referred to herein as "Durning") sexual assault of the minor child was enabled by Defendant Delta's conduct of allowing a visibly intoxicated Durning to board the flight and continuing to serve him alcohol during the flight in violation of the law and Delta's policies and procedures. After Delta became aware of the sexual assault, Delta caused the plaintiffs to suffer further trauma and harm by forcing the minor to stay in the seat where the assault took place, seating Durning near his victim and her family, allowing Durning to roam freely about the airplane where he taunted and harassed them, and, as a final indignity, allowing him to retrieve his luggage from the compartment directly above them and further taunt them as he deplaned. Delta committed these acts and omissions while being aware of the well-documented prevalence of inflight sexual assaults, and the heightened risk of such assaults on red-eye flights.
- 2. On or about June 23, 2022, two minor children Plaintiff Z.B. and Plaintiff J.B., and their mother, Plaintiff S.S. (together, referred to herein as "Plaintiffs") boarded Flight 2954 operated by Delta (referred to herein as the "Flight") at Los Angeles International Airport (referred to herein as "LAX"), for a red-eye flight that was to land on or about June 24. 2022 at Orlando International Airport (referred to herein as "MCO"). Plaintiffs were looking forward to a vacation to visit grandparents and other extended family after spending years apart due to the Covid-19 pandemic. Plaintiffs trusted Delta to uphold its duty as a common carrier to provide a safe flight for its passengers. Delta not only failed to meet that duty, but it also created a danger to Plaintiffs by allowing Durning to board the Flight in a visibly intoxicated state and continuing to serve him alcohol during the flight. Thereafter, and while in flight, Durning sexually assaulted Plaintiff Z.B. After Delta agents were made aware of the sexual assault, Delta continued to create a danger to Plaintiffs by failing to restrain Durning or move him to the back of the plane. As a result of

4

9

7

10 11

13

14

12

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Delta's actions and inaction, Durning continued to taunt and harass Plaintiff Z.B. and her family during the remainder of the flight and deboarding process. This lawsuit seeks to hold Delta and Durning accountable for their reprehensible conduct.

- Plaintiff Z.B. is 14 years old (13 at the time of the flight), and Plaintiff J.B. is 16 years old 3. (15 at the time of the flight). Plaintiff Z.B suffers from selective mutism, a type of anxiety disorder that renders her mute in certain situations, particularly when she is nervous, anxious, or afraid. Plaintiff J.B. has a rare chromosome disorder called Trisomy 8 Mosaicism, Autism Spectrum Disorder, and intellectual disabilities. Plaintiff Z.B. was seated apart from Plaintiff S.S. and Plaintiff J.B., in the middle seat between two strangers, one of whom was Durning.
- 4. Taking advantage of the red-eye flight where the lights were dimmed and most of the passengers were sleeping, Durning touched Plaintiff Z.B. repeatedly, told her that he would take her to Texas and that she would never see her family again, and repeatedly sexually assaulted her. Plaintiff Z.B. was petrified and, because of her selective mutism, unable to call for help. She tried to move away from Durning in her seat but was unable to escape his physical assault. Unable to escape, and unable to call out for help, she could only sit in her seat petrified with fear as Durning groped and sexually assaulted her while touching his genitals. When the passenger sitting on the other side of Plaintiff Z.B. (referred to herein as the "Female Passenger") woke up, she saw Durning's hand moving away from Plaintiff Z.B.'s thigh. The Female Passenger demanded Durning stop his assault, alerted the flight attendant to what was happening, and sought Delta's intervention. When Durning stood up, his trousers were unzipped.
- 5. Delta did not restrain Durning or move him to the back of the plane. Instead, they moved him to an aisle seat two rows ahead of Plaintiffs and diagonally across from them – a seat from which he continued to harass Plaintiffs. Unrestrained, Durning was free to, and did, roam about the cabin for the remainder of the Flight. Durning continued to harass the Plaintiffs, including grabbing and shaking his genitals while looking at the Plaintiffs. He also inappropriately touched the new passenger sitting next to him. After the flight landed, Delta did not restrain Durning or arrange for law enforcement to escort him off the plane. Instead, Delta allowed Durning to continue to taunt the Plaintiffs as he retrieved his luggage, and then to stand at the gate after deplaning, yell and throw his phone on the ground, and touch his genitals over his trousers while staring at Plaintiff Z.B. as she walked off the jetway.

1920

18

22

21

2324

25

26

27

28

6. The Plaintiffs' lives have been devastated by Delta and Durning's actions. Plaintiff Z.B. struggles emotionally and physically with the effects of Durning's assault and conduct, enabled by Delta. Plaintiff Z.B.'s anxiety disorder has been severely exacerbated, and she suffers from Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, depression, and panic attacks. She suffers from frequent nausea, is afraid of flying, has recurring nightmares about the incident, and does not want to sleep alone. Her trauma has also manifested in self-harm, including self-destructive cutting of her body, and she has developed several tics since the incident. Plaintiff Z.B. refuses to wear a bathing suit or shorts since the incident and no longer hugs family members. She fears that a man will do something to her whenever she is out in public. She has become combative with her parents and has reacted negatively to her father touching her on the shoulder. Formerly an excellent student and popular classmate, she now struggles academically, does not want to go to school and has isolated herself socially. Plaintiff J.B. suffers from severe anxiety about his sister's safety, school, and changes to his routine, and is afraid of flying, male strangers and his friends' fathers, and crowds. He has needed a one-on-one aide at school since the incident. Plaintiff J.B. suffers from nightmares from the incident and refuses to change in front of others for physical education class. He has become afraid of dark public spaces such as movie theaters and refuses to go to places outside of school or home without his mother. Plaintiff S.S. also suffers from constant anxiety about the safety and health of her children, depression, insomnia, and recurring nightmares of the incident. She struggles with everyday tasks including going to work, and the mental and emotional impact of the incident has significantly strained her marriage.

#### THE PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff Z.B. is a minor child of S.S. and is 14 years old. Plaintiff Z.B. is a resident of Los Angeles County, California and is a high school student. She suffers from selective mutism, a form of anxiety disorder that renders her mute in certain situations, particularly when she is nervous, anxious, or afraid.
- 8. Plaintiff J.B. is a minor child of S.S. and is 16 years old. Plaintiff J.B. is a high school student in a special education classroom. Plaintiff J.B. has Autism Spectrum Disorder, a rare genetic disorder called Trisomy 8 Mosaicism, and intellectual disabilities. Plaintiff J.B. is a resident of Los Angeles County, California.

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

- 9. Plaintiff S.S. is a resident of Los Angeles County, California, and mother to Z.B. and J.B. Plaintiff S.S. works in Los Angeles County, California.
- 10. Defendant Delta Air Lines, Inc. is a major air carrier that provides scheduled air transportation for passengers and cargo throughout the United States and around the world. Delta operates a large domestic and international route network and has several "hubs" across the United States, including LAX, the starting point of the Flight. Delta is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of Delaware, headquartered in Atlanta, Georgia, and regularly and systematically conducts business in the State of California, including Los Angeles County, California. At all relevant times, Delta owned, possessed, and/or controlled the Flight whereon the acts described herein occurred.
- 11. Defendant Durning was a passenger on the Flight on or about June 23 to on or about June 24, 2022 from LAX to MCO. Durning is a resident of Los Angeles County, California.
- 12. Plaintiffs do not know the true names and capacities of the Defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 5, inclusive, and therefore sues those Defendants by fictitious names pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 474. Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint to state the true names and capacities of the fictitiously named Defendants when those names are ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that each of the fictitiously named Defendants is legally responsible in some manner for the events and damages alleged in this Complaint under the causes of action alleged herein.
- 13. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Does 1 through 5 were the agent, servant, member, partner, employee, associate, co-conspirator, co-joint venture, or alter-ego of Delta and/or were acting in the course and scope of such agency, partnership, joint venture, association and/or employment when the acts giving rise to the causes of action occurred.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 14. This Court has jurisdiction to hear the subject matter of this complaint because it has general subject matter jurisdiction and no statutory exceptions to jurisdiction exist.
- 15. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Durning because he is a resident of California. Cal. Civ. Proc. § 410.10.
  - 16. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Delta because Delta has substantial, continuous

17. Venue is proper in this Court because Defendants reside and/or do business in Los Angeles County and some or all of the violations of law alleged herein occurred in the County of Los Angeles. Cal. Civ. Proc. § 395.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

18. The Plaintiffs reside together in Los Angeles County, California. Plaintiffs' extended family, including elderly aunts, uncles, and grandparents, live on the East Coast of the United States. Until the Covid-19 global pandemic, Plaintiffs traveled annually to visit their extended family on the East Coast, primarily in the Orlando, Florida area. Plaintiffs were looking forward to resuming this annual tradition in 2022 and arranged to fly to Orlando, Florida on June 23, 2022. As part of this trip, Plaintiffs paid money to Delta for the purchase of three airline tickets on the Flight which was scheduled to leave LAX on June 23 in the late evening and arrive the next day, June 24, at MCO.

- 19. Plaintiff S.S. was given three seat assignments on the Flight for herself and her two children: two seats in row 10 and one in row 12. All of the seats were in the "Comfort Plus" section of the airplane. The "Comfort Plus" section on Delta-operated flights refers to an area of the plane in between First Class and the main cabin, with unlimited free snack and beverage service and more legroom than in the main cabin. Specifically, Plaintiffs received seats 10A and 10B, which were the window and middle seats to the left of the aisle, and seat 12E which was a middle seat two rows behind 10A and 10B, and on the right side of the aisle.
- 20. Despite her efforts to sit together with her children, Plaintiff S.S. ended up sitting with her son, J.B., in row 10 and Plaintiff Z.B. sat in row 12 in between two strangers Durning on one side and the Female Passenger on the other.
- 21. Durning reeked of alcohol and was visibly intoxicated as he boarded the flight. Once he was on the Flight, other passengers could smell the alcohol on Durning, and he visually appeared to be intoxicated. Durning has admitted that he was intoxicated prior to boarding the Flight.
- 22. Delta repeatedly served alcohol to Durning during the initial hours of the flight. After the food and beverage service was completed, the lights were dimmed throughout the airplane.
  - 23. Durning harassed, threatened and repeatedly sexually assaulted Plaintiff Z.B. in the dark

1 cabin while passengers around them slept. Specifically: 2 Durning moved his right leg to touch Plaintiff Z.B.'s left leg; 3 Durning rubbed Plaintiff Z.B.'s hair and neck with his hand; 4 Durning touched Plaintiff Z.B.'s breasts with his hands; 5 d. Durning told Plaintiff Z.B. that he was going to take her to Texas and that she would 6 never see her family again; 7 e. Durning called Plaintiff Z.B. "honey boo"; 8 Durning pried open Plaintiff Z.B.'s legs and pushed his finger into her vagina over her 9 clothes; and 10 g. Durning rubbed his genitals with his hand over his trousers, with his zipper open. 11 24. Plaintiff Z.B., due to her selective mutism, was unable to cry out for help. Plaintiff Z.B. sat 12 in her seat, terrified and crying, and tried to physically move away from Durning. When the Female 13 Passenger woke up, she saw Durning quickly remove his hand from Plaintiff Z.B.'s upper thigh area. 14 The Female Passenger asked Plaintiff Z.B. if "he touched you." Plaintiff Z.B. began crying 25. 15 and shaking in response. The Female Passenger immediately switched seats with Plaintiff Z.B. and sought 16 the attention of Delta flight attendants. 17 26. A Delta flight attendant and in-flight leader (referred to herein as the "In-Flight Leader") 18 came to speak with the Female Passenger. The In-Flight Leader was in charge of the onboard operations 19 of the crew, served as the liaison between the pilot and the rest of the crew, and was responsible for 20 initiating responses to physical emergencies in the aircraft or any other incident requiring major attention. 21 The In-Flight Leader was an employee of Delta and acting in his official capacity during the Flight. The 22 Female Passenger informed the In-Flight Leader that Durning had inappropriately touched Plaintiff Z.B., 23 who was a minor. The In-Flight Leader then went to get Plaintiff S.S.

27. When the In-Flight Leader walked two rows away to alert Plaintiff S.S., Durning stretched across the Female Passenger in the direction of Plaintiff Z.B. When the Female Passenger attempted to push Durning away, he grabbed the Female Passenger's breasts. The Female Passenger pushed Durning away and warned him to stop. Despite the Female Passenger's warnings, and even after the In-Flight Leader returned with Plaintiff S.S., Durning continued to repeatedly attempt to touch the Female

24

25

26

27

3 4

> 5 6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

Passenger's breasts. The Female Passenger also told the In-Flight Leader that Durning touched her breasts. Plaintiff Z.B., terrified, watched Durning sexually harass the Female Passenger repeatedly.

- 28. Delta's response to learning of Durning's sexual assault on Z.B. and the Female Passenger was to keep Plaintiff Z.B. seated in row 12, where the sexual assault happened, move her mother and brother to that row to sit next to her, and move Durning to row 10 which was diagonally across from and a mere few feet away from where Plaintiffs were now sitting. When Durning stood up to move seats his pants zipper was pulled all the way down. Plaintiff S.S. asked that Durning to be moved to a different seat where she and her children would not be able to see him, but Delta refused that request.
- Delta's inadequate response emboldened Durning and allowed him to continue harassing 29. Plaintiffs for the duration of the flight. Durning repeatedly turned back to leer at the Plaintiffs, especially at Plaintiff Z.B. In addition, Delta allowed Durning to move freely about the cabin, allowing him to approach Plaintiffs and grab his genitals over his clothes and shake them at the Plaintiffs while taunting them. Delta's refusal to control or restrain Durning even extended to allowing him to roam freely in the Comfort Plus and First-Class sections of the plane.
- Delta's measures were also insufficient to deter or prevent Durning from harassing the 30. passengers around him. Durning repeatedly harassed and inappropriately touched another passenger who was seated next to him. Delta flight attendants were alerted to the issue and did nothing even though Tuff Cuff restraints (stainless steel handcuffs) were readily available for use on the flight.
- 31. After being made aware of the sexual assault, Delta chose not to divert the flight and remove Durning from the plane even though Durning continued his disrupting and harassing conduct. Delta further chose not to restrain Durning or move him to a seat far from Plaintiffs, even though Durning continued his disrupting and harassing conduct.
- Upon landing in Orlando, Florida, Delta employees did not restrain Durning or arrange for 32. law enforcement to escort Durning off the aircraft before allowing passengers to deplane. Instead, they allowed Durning to walk freely to row 12, where the Plaintiffs were sitting, where he continued to harass them by leaning his body over them repeatedly in the direction of Plaintiff Z.B.
- After Durning exited the aircraft, unaccompanied by any Delta personnel, he stopped and 33. stood facing the gate to wait for Plaintiff Z.B. so that he could harass her once more. When he saw Plaintiff

6

9

12

13

11

14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

Z.B. walking down the jet bridge, Durning grabbed his groin while looking directly at her. He also threw his phone to the ground and shouted as the Plaintiffs exited the jet bridge.

- Eventually, Durning was arrested at MCO for his actions. On June 22, 2023, Durning was convicted of a felony for his actions on the flight towards Z.B by a jury in federal court in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida.
- 35. In the months since the harrowing ordeal, Plaintiff Z.B. has become withdrawn and her anxiety disorder has been severely exacerbated. She experiences frequent nightmares about the incident and does not want to sleep alone. Plaintiff Z.B. suffers from Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder and Depression, frequent panic attacks and bouts of nausea since the incident, and fears that a man will do something to her whenever she is out in public. She has developed a fear of flying, refuses to wear a bathing suit or shorts since the incident, and no longer hugs family members. She has become combative with her parents and has reacted negatively to her father touching her on the shoulder. She believes that she sees Durning when out in public, or on the television. She has developed tics including blinking excessively, clearing her throat repeatedly, and tensing her neck.
- Plaintiff Z.B. missed more than 40 days of school in the fall semester following the 36. incident, needing private tutoring to catch up on the classes she missed. A formerly stellar student, Plaintiff Z.B. lost motivation and interest in school. She has been regularly seeing a school counselor and a professional therapist since the incident. Plaintiff Z.B. has also engaged in the self-destructive behavior of "cutting," including using scissors to cut her thigh, which is one of the places Durning put his hands during the assault.
- Plaintiff J.B. suffers from nightmares about the incident. Since the incident, he has become 37. afraid of male strangers and his friends' fathers, crowds, and flights. He has become afraid of dark public spaces such as movie theaters and refuses to go to places outside of school or home without his mother. Plaintiff J.B. suffers from anxiety regarding his sister Plaintiff Z.B.'s safety, school, and changes to his routine, and refuses to change his clothes for physical education classes. He has required the support of a one-on-one aide at school since the incident.
- 38. Plaintiff S.S. suffers from nightmares about the incident and suffers from anxiety regarding her children's safety and health, panic attacks, depression, and insomnia. Plaintiff S.S. struggles with

everyday tasks including going to work, and her marriage has suffered as a result of the emotional and mental impact of the incident and its aftermath.

#### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

# INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST DELTA AND DOES 1 THROUGH 5)

- 39. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 38 above as though fully set forth and brought in this cause of action.
- 40. Delta solicits and operates a transportation service. Transportation is not incidental to Delta's business but, rather, is Delta's primary means of making money. As such, Delta is a common carrier under Civil Code § 2168 and Public Utilities Code § 211.
- 41. A common carrier has a duty to use the utmost care and diligence for passengers' safety, must provide everything necessary for that purpose, and must exercise a reasonable degree of skill. Civil Code § 2100. At all material times, Delta owed a heightened duty as a common carrier to Plaintiffs and other passengers to provide a safe flight free from the risk of verbal, physical, sexual, and mental abuse posed by other passengers.
- 42. At all relevant times, Does 1 through 5 were the agent, servant, member, partner, employee, associate, co-conspirator, co-joint venture, or alter-ego of Delta and/or was acting in the course and scope of such agency, partnership, joint venture, association and/or employment.
- 43. The outrageous acts and/or omissions by Delta and Does 1 through 5 intentionally or recklessly caused severe emotional distress to Plaintiffs including, but not limited to, the following:
  - a. when they allowed Durning to board the Flight in a visibly intoxicated state;
  - b. when they allowed Durning to take his seat in a visibly intoxicated state;
  - c. when they served Durning multiple drinks while Durning was in a visibly intoxicated state;
  - d. when they failed to restrain Durning and allowed him to roam free in the cabin when directly warned by the Female Passenger of Durning's assault of Plaintiff Z.B. and herself;
  - e. when they failed to restrain Durning and allowed him to roam free in the cabin when

- directly warned by another passenger of Durning's continuing harassment of other passengers;
- f. when they failed to restrain Durning and allowed him to roam free in the cabin after having actual knowledge of Durning's continuing harassment of Plaintiffs Z.B., J.B., and S.S; and
- g. when they failed to escort or allow law enforcement to escort Durning off the aircraft and keep him away from Plaintiffs after having actual knowledge of Durning's assault on Plaintiff Z.B. and continuing harassment of Plaintiffs.
- 44. Delta and Does 1 through 5 acted with intent or recklessness, knowing that Plaintiffs would likely endure severe emotional distress from an intoxicated passenger's outrageous conduct, especially given Plaintiffs' relative lack of power or control over their situation or ability to report a crime while passengers on the Flight.
- 45. Delta and Does 1 through 5 acted with intent or recklessness, by failing to take adequate safety measures to protect Plaintiffs after receiving actual notice that Durning had sexually assaulted Plaintiff Z.B. and another passenger, knowing that Plaintiffs would likely continuously endure severe emotional distress from Durning's outrageous conduct, who was intoxicated, seated only two rows away from Plaintiffs, and unrestrained throughout the flight and when exiting the aircraft.
- 46. As a direct and proximate result of the above acts and/or omissions by Delta and Does 1 through 5, Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer substantial and permanent injuries, in an amount to be determined according to proof, including but not limited to: severe emotional distress, including but not limited to, anxiety, depression, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, panic attacks, loss of enjoyment of life, fear of flying, nightmares and inability to perform daily activities, and physical manifestations of severe emotional distress, such as physical injuries from self-destructive behavior.
- 47. In subjecting Plaintiffs to the wrongful acts herein described Delta and Does 1 through 5 acted intentionally or recklessly with a willful and conscious disregard of Plaintiffs' rights, so as to constitute malice and oppression under Civil Code § 3294. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to the recovery

of punitive damages against Delta and Does 1 through 5, in an amount to be determined according to proof.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **GROSS NEGLIGENCE**

#### (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST DELTA AND DOES 1 THROUGH 5)

- 48. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 47 above as though fully set forth and brought in this cause of action.
- 49. Delta is a common carrier under Civil Code § 2168 and Public Utilities Code § 211, and as such owed a heightened duty as a common carrier to Plaintiffs and other passengers to provide a safe flight free from the risk of verbal, physical, sexual and mental abuse posed by other passengers. The risk of in-flight sexual abuse, assault or harassment by passengers, including intoxicated passengers, was a foreseeable risk in light of the prevalence of such instances. The Plaintiffs were paying customers on the Flight and Delta owed them the highest degree of care in providing for their safety.
- At all relevant times, Does 1 through 5 were the agent, servant, member, partner, employee, 50. associate, co-conspirator, co-joint venture, or alter-ego of Delta and/or was acting in the course and scope of such agency, partnership, joint venture, association and/or employment.
- It has been public knowledge for several years that in-flight sexual assault is a pervasive 51. issue, and that such assaults are more likely to occur on red-eye flights. In June 2018, the FBI published an article commenting on the increase in reported cases of in-flight sexual assault. The FBI noted that there was a 66% increase in the number of such cases reported to the FBI from fiscal year 2014 to fiscal year 2017, and also that the "attacks generally occur on long-haul flights when the cabin is dark." The number of in-flight sexual assault investigations opened by the FBI increased again from 63 to 119 in the year 2019, showing a 89% increase from the year 2018.<sup>3</sup>

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Sexual Assault Aboard Aircraft: Raising Awareness About a Serious Federal Crime, FBI News (Apr. 26, 2018), https://www.fbi.gov/news/stories/raising-awareness-about-sexual-assault-aboard-aircraft-042618.

Justin Gray, Sexual Assaults on Airplanes on the Rise, FBI Warns, WSB-TV (Feb. 3, 2020, 12:09 PM), https://www.wsbtv.com/news/2-investigates/sexual-assaults-airplane-rise-fbiwarns/HZRVB762XVGONC53ZFNSUCJXQA/.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 53. News media have reported on multiple cases of passenger-on-passenger in-flight sexual assault for years, <sup>5</sup> including in particular cases of in-flight sexual assaults of children traveling unaccompanied by an adult or seated separately from their guardian.<sup>6</sup>
- 54. In particular, Delta knew of numerous in-flight sexual assaults that occurred on flights that it operated, including, but not limited to, the following instances. In 2016, an intoxicated man performed a sex act on himself and sexually assaulted a woman sitting next to him on a flight operated by ExpressJet, which is operated by Delta.<sup>7</sup> In 2017, a man sexually assaulted a female passenger on a Delta flight from Charlottesville, Virginia to Atlanta, Georgia.<sup>8</sup> In 2018, a 23-year-old woman was sexually assaulted by

Int'l Air Transp. Ass'n, Safety Report 2018 at 111-12 (Apr. 2019), https://www.iata.org/contentassets/4d18cb077c5e419b8a888d387a50c638/iata-safety-report-2018.pdf.

See, e.g., Julia Marnin, Sleeping woman wakes up twice to passenger groping her on red-eye flight to NJ, feds say, Miami Herald (Sept. 4, 2023, 2:53 PM), https://www.miamiherald.com/news/nationworld/national/article278951299.html; Delta passenger sues airline, claims crew didn't detain passenger 2018. sexually assaulted her on flight, Fox News (Sept. 10:12 https://www.foxnews.com/travel/delta-passenger-sues-airline-claims-crew-didnt-detain-passenger-whosexually-assaulted-her-on-flight; Allison Dvaladze, Airline industry treats sexual assaults in the skies like inconvenience. not a crime, USA Today (Apr. 2019. AM), https://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/voices/2019/04/01/sexual-assault-airlineflight-elaine-

chaotrump-boeing-column/3312204002/; Christopher Mele, Sexual Assault on Flights: Experts Recommend Ways to Stay Safe and Combat It, N.Y. Times (Mar. 23, 2019), https://www.nytimes.com/2019/03/23/travel/airline-flights-sexual-assault.html; David Oliver, Passenger indicted for alleged mid-flight sexual assault of 19-year-old woman, USA Today (May 21, 2019, 3:50 PM), https://www.usatoday.com/story/travel/flights/2019/05/21/united-airlines-passengerallegessexual-assault/3751023002/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Robert Arnold, *Houston area teen reports being molested on flight to California*, Click2Houston (June 6, 2023, 9:53 AM), https://www.click2houston.com/news/investigates/2023/06/06/houston-area-teen-reports-being-molested-on-flight-to-california/; Amy Clancy, *Seattle teen: United Airlines 'negligent' for in-flight sexual assault*, Kiro7 (May 21, 2019, 11:35 PM), https://www.kiro7.com/news/local/tonight-at-5-30-seattle-teen-united-airlines-negligent-for-in-flight-sexual-assault/950947481/; Michael Miller, *'This was 30 minutes of hell for this lady': Unaccompanied minor groped on flight*, The Washington Post (June 20, 2016, 6:33 AM), https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/morning-mix/wp/2016/06/20/this-was-30-minutes-of-hell-for-this-young-lady-unaccompanied-minor-groped-on-flight/.

Oralandar Brand-Williams, *Woman sues Delta Airlines over sex assault on flight*, The Detroit News (June 13, 2017, 3:28 PM), https://www.detroitnews.com/story/news/local/wayne-county/2017/06/13/passenger-sues-delta-airlines-sex-assault/102813688/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Raisa Habersham, *FBI: Man threw pretzel bag, groped woman on Delta flight to Atlanta*, The Atlanta Journal-Constitution (Mar. 14, 2018), https://www.ajc.com/news/crime--law/fbi-man-threw-pretzel-bag-groped-woman-delta-flight-atlanta/s6Ock8D4UFUtD4JVf5xgqM/.

1	an intoxicated male passenger on a Delta flight from Chicago, Illinois to Los Angeles, California. In
2	2019, a woman was sexually assaulted on a Delta flight from Atlanta, Georgia to Germany by a
3	neighboring passenger while she was sleeping. <sup>10</sup> In 2021, a man sexually assaulted a 19-year-old woman
4	sitting next to him on a Delta flight from the Netherlands to Detroit, Michigan, while she was sleeping
5	and the cabin lights were dimmed. 11
6	55. As an air carrier, Delta and Does 1 through 5 violated laws, regulations, and policies by
7	including, but not limited to, the following:
8	a. allowing Durning to board the Flight in a visibly intoxicated state;
9	b. allowing Durning to take his seat in a visibly intoxicated state; and
10	c. serving Durning multiple drinks while Durning was in a visibly intoxicated state.
11	56. Delta and Does 1 through 5 breached their duties as a common carrier and violated that
12	heightened degree of care owed to Plaintiffs by including, but not limited to, the following:
13	a. failing to have or enforce adequate policies and procedures to prevent and properly
14	respond to in-flight sexual assaults;
15	b. allowing Durning to board the Flight in a visibly intoxicated state;
16	c. allowing Durning to take his seat in a visibly intoxicated state;
17	d. serving Durning multiple drinks while Durning was in a visibly intoxicated state;
18	e. failing to act adequately when directly warned by the Female Passenger of Durning's
19	assault of Plaintiff Z.B. and herself;
20	f. failing to act adequately when directly warned by another passenger of Durning's
21	continuing harassment of other passengers; and
22	
23	Begin Palmer, Delta Passenger Says She Was Sexually Assaulted on Flight and Given \$200 Coupon to
24	Make Up For It, Newsweek (July 24, 2018, 11:15 AM), https://www.newsweek.com/delta-passenger-says-she-was-sexually-assaulted-flight-and-given-200-coupon-1039773.
25	Nikki McGee, Murfreesboro couple sues Delta Airlines after alleged in-flight sexual assault, WKRN.com (Apr. 29, 2021, 10:31 PM), https://www.wkrn.com/news/local-news/murfreesboro-couple-
26	sues-delta-airlines-after-alleged-in-flight-sexual-assault/.
27	Derick Hutchinson, Man who sexually assaulted woman in middle seat of Delta flight to Detroit claims he was asleep, feds say, Click On Detroit (Apr. 20, 2022, 8:00 AM),
28	https://www.clickondetroit.com/news/local/2022/04/20/man-who-sexually-assaulted-woman-in-middle-seat-of-delta-flight-to-detroit-claims-he-was-asleep-feds-say/.

- g. failing to act adequately after having actual knowledge of Durning's continuing harassment of Plaintiffs Z.B., J.B., and S.S.
- 57. The above-mentioned actions and/or omissions by Delta and Does 1 through 5, when viewed objectively, involved an extreme degree of risk and constituted an extreme departure from the ordinary standard of conduct, considering the probability and magnitude of the potential harm to others.
- 58. Delta and Does 1 through 5 had actual, subjective awareness of this risk, but nevertheless proceeded with conscious indifference to the rights, safety, and welfare of Plaintiffs. The gross negligence of Delta and Does 1 through 5, especially by ignoring its own policies and credible reports that Durning had sexually assaulted passengers including a minor child, and by failing to take meaningful steps to protect Plaintiffs, was a proximate cause of the assaults and harassment that Plaintiffs suffered and of the damages and injuries alleged herein.
- 59. The above acts and/or omissions by Delta and Does 1 through 5 were a proximate cause of the physical damage, severe emotional distress, and physical manifestations of severe emotional distress that Plaintiffs Z.B., J.B. and S.S. have suffered and continue to suffer in an amount to be determined according to proof.
- 60. Plaintiffs J.B. and S.S., the brother and mother of Plaintiff Z.B., were in the same airplane cabin as Plaintiff Z.B., seated next to Plaintiff Z.B., or walking next to Plaintiff Z.B. during the injuries that Plaintiff Z.B. suffered. Plaintiffs J.B. and S.S. were aware that Delta's grossly negligent and careless injury-producing conduct was causing injury to Plaintiff Z.B. because they were alerted to Durning's conduct by the Female Passenger, and because they witnessed Durning's subsequent conduct after he was moved to row 10.
- 61. As a direct and proximate result of witnessing the injury to Plaintiff Z.B. caused by the grossly negligent and careless conduct of Delta and Does 1 through 5, and because they also received and observed taunts and sexual gestures when they were sitting next to Plaintiff Z.B., Plaintiffs J.B. and S.S. have suffered and continue to suffer severe emotional distress and physical manifestations of severe emotional distress, in an amount to be determined according to proof.
- 62. As a result of this gross negligence, Plaintiffs are entitled to and seek exemplary damages in an amount to be determined according to proof.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **NEGLIGENCE**

#### (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST DELTA AND DOES 1 THROUGH 5)

- 63. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 62 above as though fully set forth and brought in this cause of action.
- 64. As a common carrier, Delta owed a heightened duty as a common carrier to Plaintiffs and other passengers to provide a safe flight free from the risk of verbal, physical, sexual and mental abuse posed by other passengers.
- 65. The above-mentioned acts and/or omissions by Delta and Does 1 through 5 constituted a breach of that duty.
- 66. As a direct, legal, and proximate result of the acts and/or omissions by Delta and Does 1 through 5, Plaintiffs Z.B., J.B. and S.S. suffered and continue to suffer the following general and special damages, in an amount to be shown according to proof, including but not limited to: physical injuries, shock, severe emotional distress, including but not limited to, anxiety, depression, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, panic attacks, loss of enjoyment of life, fear of flying, nightmares and inability to perform daily activities, and physical manifestations of severe emotional distress, such as physical injuries from self-destructive behavior.
- 67. Plaintiffs J.B. and S.S., the brother and mother of Plaintiff Z.B., were in the same airplane cabin as Plaintiff Z.B., seated next to Plaintiff Z.B., or walking next to Plaintiff Z.B. during the injuries that Plaintiff Z.B. suffered. Plaintiffs J.B. and S.S. were aware that Delta's negligent injury-producing conduct was causing injury to Plaintiff Z.B. because they were alerted of Durning's conduct by the Female Passenger, or because they witnessed Durning's subsequent conduct after he was moved to row 10.
- 68. As a direct, legal, and proximate result of witnessing the injury to Plaintiff Z.B. caused by the negligent conduct of Delta and Does 1 through 5, Plaintiffs J.B. and S.S. have suffered and continue to suffer severe emotional distress and physical manifestations of severe emotional distress, in an amount to be determined according to proof.

///

1//

#### **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS**

#### (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST DELTA AND DOES 1 THROUGH 5)

- 69. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 68 above as though fully set forth and brought in this cause of action.
- 70. Delta and Does 1 through 5 owed a heightened duty as a common carrier to Plaintiffs and other passengers to provide a safe flight free from the risk of verbal, physical, sexual, and mental abuse posed by other passengers.
- 71. While on board the Flight, the Plaintiffs had limited mobility, were unable to escape from Durning, or report a crime to the authorities on their own.
- 72. Delta and Does 1 through 5 knew or should have known that Plaintiffs who experienced and witnessed in-flight sexual assault and were treated poorly or inadequately in the aftermath would experience severe emotional distress.
- 73. Delta and Does 1 through 5 could reasonably foresee that their actions and/or omissions would cause severe emotional distress to Plaintiffs.
  - a. Delta and Does 1 through 5 could reasonably foresee that allowing Durning, a visibly intoxicated passenger, to board the aircraft and then providing Durning with more alcoholic beverages while seated next to an unaccompanied child created a heightened risk of sexual assault which Delta and Does 1 through 5 failed to mitigate or address.
  - b. After being notified of the assault of Plaintiff Z.B., Delta and Does 1 through 5 failed to take adequate measures that would prevent Durning from continuing to harass Plaintiff Z.B, Plaintiff J.B., and Plaintiff S.S.
  - c. Delta and Does 1 through 5 could reasonably foresee that after Plaintiff Z.B. was sexually assaulted on the Flight, seating Durning only a few feet away from Plaintiffs would further cause Plaintiffs severe emotional distress.
  - d. Delta and Does 1 through 5 could reasonably foresee that Durning, who remained intoxicated and unrestrained for the rest of the Flight, would likely cause harm to Plaintiffs and other passengers.

- 74. Through the above-described actions and/or omissions, Delta and Does 1 through 5 failed to exercise utmost care and negligently caused severe emotional distress to Plaintiffs.
- 75. As a direct, legal, and proximate result of the acts and/or omissions by Delta and Does 1 through 5, Plaintiffs Z.B., J.B. and S.S. suffered and continue to suffer the following general and special damages, in an amount to be shown according to proof, including but not limited to: physical injuries, shock, severe emotional distress, including but not limited to, anxiety, depression, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, panic attacks, loss of enjoyment of life, fear of flying, nightmares and inability to perform daily activities, and physical manifestations of severe emotional distress, such as physical injuries from self-destructive behavior.
- 76. Plaintiffs J.B. and S.S are immediate family members of Plaintiff Z.B. They were also passengers on the Flight located just a few feet away from Plaintiff Z.B. during the assault of Plaintiff Z.B. As a direct and proximate result of witnessing the injury to Plaintiff Z.B. caused by the negligent conduct of Delta and Does 1 through 5, Plaintiffs J.B. and S.S. suffered and continue to suffer substantial and permanent injuries, including severe emotional distress and physical manifestations of severe emotional distress, including anxiety, humiliation, loss of enjoyment of life, fear of flying, inability to perform daily activities, and other physical and emotional injuries in an amount to be determined according to proof.
- 77. As a direct, legal, and proximate result of the negligent conduct by Delta and Does 1 through 5 that allowed Durning to continue to harass Plaintiffs J.B. and S.S., Plaintiffs J.B. and S.S. have suffered and continue to suffer severe emotional distress and physical manifestations of severe emotional distress, in an amount to be determined according to proof.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200 (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST DELTA)

- 78. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 77 above as though fully set forth and brought in this cause of action.
- 79. Delta's conduct as described herein constitutes a "business practice" under the Business & Professions Code, Section 17200, et seq. ("Section 17200").

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

- 80. Under California law, Section 17200 "borrows" violations of other laws, including common law, and treats them as unlawful practices independently actionable under Section 17200.
- 81. Delta has engaged in unlawful conduct within the meaning of Section 17200 by virtue of Delta's acts and omissions which violate common law and constitute negligence, gross negligence, negligent infliction of emotional distress and/or intentional infliction of emotional distress. As described herein, those acts and omissions include but are not limited to, allowing a visibly intoxicated person to board the plane, allowing a visibly intoxicated person to take his seat on the plane, continuing to serve a visibly intoxicated person alcohol during the flight, forcing Plaintiff Z.B., a minor, to stay in the seat where the assault took place after they had knowledge of the assault, sitting Durning near Plaintiff Z.B., his victim, and her family and not moving him to the back of the plane, refusing to control or restrain Durning and allowing him to roam free in the cabin after being told of his sexual assault of a minor, refusing to control or restrain Durning and allowing him to roam free in the cabin after learning of Durning's continuing harassment of Plaintiffs and other passengers, allowing Durning to retrieve his luggage from the compartment directly above Plaintiffs while they were forced to stay in their seats and endure Durning's further harassment of them, and failing and/or refusing to escort or allow law enforcement to escort Durning off the aircraft and keep him away from Plaintiffs thereby providing another opportunity for Durning to wait for his victim and harass her and Plaintiff J.B. and Plaintiff S.S. once more.
- 82. As a direct and foreseeable result of Delta's violation of Section 17200, Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer economic harm and substantial irreparable harm as described herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to relief.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **ASSAULT**

#### (PLAINTIFF Z.B. AGAINST DURNING)

- Plaintiff Z.B. re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation 83. contained in paragraphs 1 through 38 above as though fully set forth and brought in this cause of action.
- Durning, with intent to cause harmful or offensive contact, touched Plaintiff Z.B. in the 84. lower leg, upper thigh, vaginal area, and breasts.

- 85. Plaintiff Z.B. reasonably believed that she was about to be touched in a harmful offensive manner, in light of Durning calling her "honey boo," and telling her that he would take her to Texas and that she would never see her family again, and in light of each prior instance of harmful or offensive contact by Durning.
  - 86. Plaintiff Z.B. did not consent to Durning's conduct.
- As a direct, legal, and proximate result of the acts of Durning, Plaintiff Z.B. suffered and continues to suffer the following general and special damages, in an amount to be shown according to proof, including but not limited to: physical injuries, shock, severe emotional distress, including but not limited to, anxiety, depression, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, panic attacks, loss of enjoyment of life, fear of flying, nightmares and inability to perform daily activities, and physical manifestations of severe emotional distress, such as physical injuries from self-destructive behavior. In subjecting Plaintiff Z.B. to the wrongful acts herein described, Durning acted willfully and maliciously with the intent to harm Plaintiff Z.B. and with a willful and conscious disregard of Plaintiff Z.B.'s rights, so as to constitute malice and oppression under Civil Code § 3294. Plaintiff Z.B. is therefore entitled to the recovery of punitive damages against Durning, in an amount to be determined according to proof.

#### SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **SEXUAL BATTERY (CIVIL CODE § 1708.5)**

#### (PLAINTIFF Z.B. AGAINST DURNING)

- 88. Plaintiff Z.B. re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 38 above as though fully set forth and brought in this cause of action.
  - 89. Durning touched Plaintiff Z.B. in the groin, vaginal area, and breasts.
- 90. Durning committed the acts set forth herein with the intent to cause harmful or offensive contact with an intimate part or parts of Plaintiff Z.B.'s person and that would offend a reasonable sense of personal dignity. The acts by Durning did in fact cause a harmful or offensive contact with Plaintiff Z.B.'s person that would offend a reasonable person in Plaintiff Z.B.'s situation.
  - 91. Plaintiff Z.B. did not give consent to Durning's conduct.
- 92. As a direct, legal, and proximate result of the acts of Durning, Plaintiff Z.B. suffered and continues to suffer the following general and special damages, in an amount to be shown according to

proof, including but not limited to: physical injuries, shock, severe emotional distress, including but not limited to, anxiety, depression, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, panic attacks, loss of enjoyment of life, fear of flying, nightmares and inability to perform daily activities, and physical manifestations of severe emotional distress, such as physical injuries from self-destructive behavior.

93. In subjecting Plaintiff Z.B. to the wrongful acts herein described, Durning acted willfully and maliciously with the intent to harm Plaintiff Z.B. and with a willful and conscious disregard of Plaintiff Z.B.'s rights, so as to constitute malice and oppression under Civil Code § 3294. Plaintiff Z.B. is therefore entitled to the recovery of punitive damages against Durning, in an amount to be determined according to proof.

#### **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **BATTERY**

#### (PLAINTIFF Z.B. AGAINST DURNING)

- 94. Plaintiff Z.B. re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 38 above as though fully set forth and brought in this cause of action.
  - 95. Durning touched Plaintiff Z.B. in the leg, groin, vaginal area, and breasts.
- 96. Durning committed the acts set forth herein with the intent to cause a harmful or offensive contact with Plaintiff Z.B.'s person and that would offend a reasonable sense of personal dignity. Further, said acts by Durning did cause a harmful or offensive contact with Plaintiff Z.B.'s person that would offend a reasonable person in Plaintiff Z.B.'s situation.
  - 97. Plaintiff Z.B. did not consent to the touching.
  - 98. Plaintiff Z.B. was harmed by Durning's inappropriate and wrongful conduct.
- 99. As a direct, legal, and proximate result of the acts of Durning, Plaintiff Z.B. suffered and continues to suffer the following general and special damages, in an amount to be shown according to proof, including but not limited to: physical injuries, shock, severe emotional distress, including but not limited to, anxiety, depression, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, panic attacks, loss of enjoyment of life, fear of flying, nightmares and inability to perform daily activities, and physical manifestations of severe emotional distress, such as physical injuries from self-destructive behavior.
  - 100. In subjecting Plaintiff Z.B. to the wrongful acts herein described, Durning acted willfully

and maliciously with the intent to harm Plaintiff Z.B. and with a willful and conscious disregard of Plaintiff Z.B.'s rights, so as to constitute malice and oppression under Civil Code § 3294. Plaintiff Z.B. is therefore entitled to the recovery of punitive damages against Durning, in an amount to be determined according to proof.

#### NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS (ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST DURNING)

- 101. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference herein each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 38 above as though fully set forth and brought in this cause of action.
- 102. Durning intentionally touched Plaintiff Z.B. on her lower legs, thigh, groin, vaginal area, and breasts. Durning pleasured himself over his clothing both while forcibly touching Plaintiff Z.B. on the Flight and while looking at Plaintiff Z.B. as she and the other Plaintiffs were disembarking from the aircraft at MCO.
- 103. Durning pleasured himself over his clothing while leering at Plaintiffs while on the Flight.

  Durning intentionally verbally harassed Plaintiffs on multiple occasions during the Flight and after it landed. Durning grabbed his genitals and shook them at Plaintiffs and taunted them while on the Flight.
- 104. Durning's conduct was extreme, outrageous, and had a severe and traumatic effect upon the mental and emotional tranquility of Plaintiffs.
- 105. As an actual and proximate result of the intentional and malicious acts of Durning, Plaintiffs suffered and continue to suffer the following general and special damages, in an amount to be shown according to proof, including but not limited to: physical injuries, shock, severe emotional distress, including but not limited to, anxiety, depression, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, panic attacks, loss of enjoyment of life, fear of flying, nightmares and inability to perform daily activities, and physical manifestations of severe emotional distress, such as physical injuries from self-destructive behavior.
- 106. In subjecting Plaintiffs to the wrongful acts herein described, Durning acted willfully and maliciously with the intent to harm Plaintiffs and with a willful and conscious disregard of Plaintiffs' rights, so as to constitute malice and oppression under Civil Code § 3294. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to the recovery of punitive damages against Durning, in an amount to be determined according to proof.

1		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
2	Where	efore, Plaintiffs pray:
3	1.	For past, present, and future non-economic damages, in an amount to be determined at trial;
4	2.	For past, present, and future special damages, including but not limited to past, present,
5	and future los	t earnings, economic damages and others, in an amount to be determined at trial;
6	3.	For exemplary and punitive damages in an amount deemed sufficient by the trier of fact to
7	punish, deter,	and make an example of Defendants and to be determined at the time of trial;
8	4.	For costs and expenses of suit, including expert witness fees;
9	5.	For reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5
10	and/or any otl	her applicable provision providing for attorneys' fees;
11	6.	For interest based on damages, as well as pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as
12	allowed by la	w; and
13	7.	For any other equitable and further relief as the Court may deem proper.
14		REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL
15	Plaint	iffs Z.B., J.B., and S.S. hereby demand a trial by jury on all issues.
16		
17	Dated: Janua	Respectfully submitted,  LEWIS BAACH KAUFMANN MIDDLEMISS PLLC
18		
19		By: /s/Jessica R. Lobis Buckwalter  Jessica R. Lobis Buckwalter (SBN 199200)
20		Adam Kaufmann (pro hac vice admission pending)
21	-	Li Jiang (SBN 292940)
22		AGNIFILO LAW GROUP, APC
23		By: /s/Karen Agnifilo
23   24		Karen Agnifilo (pro hac vice admission pending)
25		DE CASTRO LAW GROUP, P.C.
25 26		By: /s/José-Manuel A. de Castro
		José-Manuel A. de Castro (SBN 213769)
27   28		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
.0		. 23
		/ 3



### Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles

A. 1975年1987年,新中最初期後 改为"史笔》》

## ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION PACKAGE

THE PLAINTIFF MUST SERVE THIS ADR INFORMATION PACKAGE ON EACH PARTY WITH THE COMPLAINT.

**CROSS-COMPLAINANTS** must serve this ADR Information Package on any new parties named to the action with the cross-complaint.

#### What is ADR?

ADR helps people find solutions to their legal disputes without going to trial. The main types of ADR are negotiation, mediation, arbitration, and settlement conferences. When ADR is done by phone, videoconference or computer, it may be called Online Dispute Resolution (ODR). These alternatives to litigation and trial are described below.

#### Advantages of ADR

- Saves Time: ADR is faster than going to trial.
- Saves Money: Parties can save on court costs, attorney's fees, and witness fees.

Brand Brand Brand

- Keeps Control (with the parties): Parties choose their ADR process and provider for voluntary ADR.
- Reduces Stress/Protects Privacy: ADR is done outside the courtroom, in private offices, by phone or online.

#### **Disadvantages of ADR**

- Costs: If the parties do not resolve their dispute, they may have to pay for ADR, litigation, and trial.
- No Public Trial: ADR does not provide a public trial or decision by a judge or jury.

#### Main Types of ADR

- 1. **Negotiation:** Parties often talk with each other in person, or by phone or online about resolving their case with a settlement agreement instead of a trial. If the parties have lawyers, they will negotiate for their clients.
- 2. **Mediation:** In mediation, a neutral mediator listens to each person's concerns, helps them evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of their case, and works with them to try to create a settlement agreement that is acceptable to all. Mediators do not decide the outcome. Parties may go to trial if they decide not to settle.

#### Mediation may be appropriate when the parties

- want to work out a solution but need help from a neutral person.
- have communication problems or strong emotions that interfere with resolution.

#### Mediation may <u>not</u> be appropriate when the parties

- want a public trial and want a judge or jury to decide the outcome.
- lack equal bargaining power or have a history of physical/emotional abuse.

#### **How to Arrange Mediation in Los Angeles County**

Mediation for civil cases is voluntary and parties may select any mediator they wish. Options include:

- a. The Civil Mediation Vendor Resource List
  - If all parties in an active civil case agree to mediation, they may contact these organizations to request a "Resource List Mediation" for mediation at reduced cost or no cost (for selected cases).
  - ADR Services, Inc. Assistant Case Manager Janet Solis, janet@adrservices.com
     (213) 683-1600
  - Mediation Center of Los Angeles Program Manager info@mediationLA.org (833) 476-9145

These organizations cannot accept every case and they may decline cases at their discretion. They may offer online mediation by video conference for cases they accept. Before contacting these organizations, review important information and FAQs at <a href="https://www.lacourt.org/ADR.Res.List">www.lacourt.org/ADR.Res.List</a>

NOTE: The Civil Mediation Vendor Resource List program does not accept family law, probate, or small claims cases.

- b. Los Angeles County Dispute Resolution Programs. Los Angeles County-funded agencies provide mediation services on the day of hearings in small claims, unlawful detainer (eviction), civil harassment, and limited civil (collections and non-collection) cases. <a href="https://dcba.lacounty.gov/countywidedrp/">https://dcba.lacounty.gov/countywidedrp/</a>
  - Online Dispute Resolution (ODR). Parties in small claims and unlawful detainer (eviction) cases should carefully review the Notice and other information they may receive about (ODR) requirements for their case. <a href="https://my.lacourt.org/odr/">https://my.lacourt.org/odr/</a>
- c. Mediators and ADR and Bar organizations that provide mediation may be found on the internet.
- 3. **Arbitration:** Arbitration is less formal than trial, but like trial, the parties present evidence and arguments to the person who decides the outcome. In "binding" arbitration, the arbitrator's decision is final; there is no right to trial. In "nonbinding" arbitration, any party can request a trial after the arbitrator's decision. For more information about arbitration, visit <a href="https://www.courts.ca.gov/programs-adr.htm">https://www.courts.ca.gov/programs-adr.htm</a>
- 4. **Mandatory Settlement Conferences (MSC):** MSCs are ordered by the Court and are often held close to the trial date or on the day of trial. The parties and their attorneys meet with a judge or settlement officer who does not make a decision but who instead assists the parties in evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of the case and in negotiating a settlement. For information about the Court's MSC programs for civil cases, visit <a href="https://www.lacourt.org/division/civil/Cl0047.aspx">https://www.lacourt.org/division/civil/Cl0047.aspx</a>

Los Angeles Superior Court ADR website: <a href="https://www.lacourt.org/division/civil/Cl0109.aspx">https://www.lacourt.org/division/civil/Cl0109.aspx</a>
For general information and videos about ADR, visit <a href="http://www.courts.ca.gov/programs-adr.htm">http://www.courts.ca.gov/programs-adr.htm</a>

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Torrance Courthouse 825 Maple Avenue, Torrance, CA 90503	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angalas
NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT	O1/30/2024 David W. Shyan, Executive Office: I Greek of Count By: M. Horan Deputy
UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE	CASE NUMBER:
Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below	v. 24TRCV00333

#### THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM
~	Douglas W. Stern	В	2.2			

Given to the Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/Attorney of Record	David W. Slayton, Executive	Officer / Clerk of Court
on 02/05/2024	By M. Horan	, Deputy Clerk

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDLING UNLIMITED CIVIL CASES

The following critical provisions of the California Rules of Court, Title 3, Division 7, as applicable in the Superior Court, are summarized for your assistance.

#### **APPLICATION**

The Division 7 Rules were effective January 1, 2007. They apply to all general civil cases.

#### PRIORITY OVER OTHER RULES

The Division 7 Rules shall have priority over all other Local Rules to the extent the others are inconsistent,

#### CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE

A challenge under Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6 must be made within 15 days after notice of assignment for all purposes to a judge, or if a party has not yet appeared, within 15 days of the first appearance.

#### TIME STANDARDS

Cases assigned to the Independent Calendaring Courts will be subject to processing under the following time standards:

#### **COMPLAINTS**

All complaints shall be served within 60 days of filing and proof of service shall be filed within 90 days.

#### **CROSS-COMPLAINTS**

Without leave of court first being obtained, no cross-complaint may be filed by any party after their answer is filed. Cross-complaints shall be served within 30 days of the filing date and a proof of service filed within 60 days of the filing date.

#### **STATUS CONFERENCE**

A status conference will be scheduled by the assigned Independent Calendar Judge no later than 270 days after the filing of the complaint. Counsel must be fully prepared to discuss the following issues: alternative dispute resolution, bifurcation, settlement, trial date, and expert witnesses.

#### FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court will require the parties to attend a final status conference not more than 10 days before the scheduled trial date. All parties shall have motions in limine, bifurcation motions, statements of major evidentiary issues, dispositive motions, requested form jury instructions, special jury instructions, and special jury verdicts timely filed and served prior to the conference. These matters may be heard and resolved at this conference. At least five days before this conference, counsel must also have exchanged lists of exhibits and witnesses, and have submitted to the court a brief statement of the case to be read to the jury panel as required by Chapter Three of the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

#### SANCTIONS

The court will impose appropriate sanctions for the failure or refusal to comply with Chapter Three Rules, orders made by the Court, and time standards or deadlines established by the Court or by the Chapter Three Rules. Such sanctions may be on a party, or if appropriate, on counsel for a party.

This is not a complete delineation of the Division 7 or Chapter Three Rules, and adherence only to the above provisions is therefore not a guarantee against the imposition of sanctions under Trial Court Delay Reduction. Careful reading and compliance with the actual Chapter Rules is imperative.

#### **Class Actions**

Pursuant to Local Rule 2.3, all class actions shall be filed at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse and are randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be a class action it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

#### \*Provisionally Complex Cases

Cases filed as provisionally complex are initially assigned to the Supervising Judge of complex litigation for determination of complex status. If the case is deemed to be complex within the meaning of California Rules of Court 3.400 et seq., it will be randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be complex, it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

SUPERIOR C	OURT OF CALIFOR	RNIA	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
	OF LOS ANGELES		FILED
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:			Superior Court of California
Torrance Courthouse			County of Los Angeles
825 Maple Avenue, Torrai	nce, CA 90503		02/08/2024
Z.B. et al			David W. Stayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Count  - 8v: J. William's Deputy
DELTA AIR LINES, INC.,	et al.		by
NOTICE OF CASE	MANAGEMENT CONFER	ENCE	CASE NUMBER: 24TRCV00333
TO THE PLAINTIFF(S)/ATTORNE	Y(S) FOR PLAINTIFF(S) OF REC	CORD:	
•	he matters to be discussed no late	er than 30 days bef	ore the Case Management Conference.
Your Case Management Conferer	nce has been scheduled at the co	urthouse address s	hown above on:
	Date: 04/30/2024 Time: 8	3:30 AM Dep	t.: B
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: TH			NFERENCE DOES NOT EXEMPT TH ADING AS REQUIRED BY LAW.
may be filed jointly by all parties/ar case and be fully prepared to parti At the Case Management Confer establishing a discovery schedule	ttorneys of record or individually be icipate effectively in the Case Mar rence, the Court may make pretres; an order referring the case to Al at conference and the trial date;	y each party/attorne nagement Conferen ial orders including ternative Dispute R	nference. The Case Management Staten by of record. You must be familiar with ce.  If the following, but not limited to, an or lesolution (ADR); an order reclassifying achieve the goals of the Trial Court De
Management Conference, the C	court may impose sanctions, pu	rsuant to LASC L	pear and effectively participate at the Copear Rule 3.37, Code of Civil Proced 08, subdivision (b), and California Rule
Dated: 02/08/2024	<u> </u>	Judicial G	16. Jus
<u> </u>	OFFICIALE		Jlas W. Stern/Judge
			ereby certify that I am not a party to the upon each party or counsel named below
	ates mail at the courthouse in $\frac{To}{I}$ ed envelope to each address as s		, California, one copy of the origi e postage thereon fully prepaid.
by personally giving the party i	notice upon filing of the complaint		
Jose-Manuel de Castro			
7590 N. Glenoaks Blvd. Sui	te 201		
Los Angeles, CA 91504		David W. Slayte	on, Executive Officer / Clerk of Co
Dated: 02/08/2024		By J. Williams	·
Dated: 02/08/2024		By J. Williams	

Deputy Clerk